Tenth Indian Expedition to Antarctica, Scientific Report, 1995 Department of Ocean Development, Technical Publication No. 8, pp. 137-144

# Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis of Rock Samples from Schirmacher Range, Central Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica

# Y. SRINIVAS

Atomic Minerals Division, Department of Atomic Energy, Hyderabad-16

### Abstract

Non-destructive Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis (INAA) of rare earth elements, some trace and major-elements of the representative rock samples from Schirmacher Range, Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica comprising five gneiss samples (quartz-biotite gneiss, garnet-biotite gneiss, sheared banded gneiss, leucocratic gneiss, banded gneiss) have been carried out. The samples were irradiated for 8 hours along with standard reference rock samples of suitable weights in a thermal neutron flux of  $-10^{13}$  ncm<sup>2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>.

The elemental concentrations are estimated by studying the induced activity produced in samples using high resolution gamma ray spectrometry. The results of elemental characterisation of five different rock types are compared with normal magmatic rock and discussed,

## Introduction

Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis is an established, high sensitive and non-destructive nuclear technique used to find quantitative elemental concentrations of rare earth elements and other trace elements ranging from percentage to the trace level with very good precision (Gibson and Jagam, 1980 and Gurna et al, 1988). The quantitative determination of several trace elements in the different international standard reference materials (SRM) are often analysed by this technique (Gurna et al, 1988 and Potts and Rogers, 1991). Since the abundances of REE in rocks are being used for petrogenetic studies for their geochemical significance, the accurate data is essential before doing any quantitative modelling. Petrological and mineralogical studies of these rocks have been done extensively. Geochemical studies including.major and trace elements have been carried out and attempts to explain the genesis of the gneisses were made (Chakraborty et al., 1985; Kaul et al., 1985 and 1988). These rocks were compared with well known shield areas of South India, Africa and Australia (Craddock, 1982; Fedorov et al., 1982; Murali et al, 1983; Pichamuthu, 1966 and Ravich, 1982). However, REE data of the gneisses and other rock types have not been used to explain their petrogenesis.

This work is an attempt to present the analytical results of some of the major, trace and REE elements of the gneisses from Schirmacher Range,Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica.

Schirmacher range of Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica, is about 16km long and lies between latitudes 70°44'30"S and 70°46'30"S and longitudes 11°22'40"E to 11°54'00"E. It is an E-W to WNW-ESE trending low lying range with a maximum width of 2.7kms in the central part.

The stable craton of East Antarctica comprises Precambrian high-grade gneisses and crystalline schists with granite intrusives (Singh, 1986).

The gneisses consist of microcline(and/or) orthoclase, plagioclase, quartz, biotite, hornblende and opaque ore as the essential mineral constituents (Chakraborty *et al.*, 1985).

# **Experimental Technique**

The rock samples weighing about one kilogram were washed and crushed to -200 mesh. The crushed powder of each sample was homogenized and sampling was done by coning and quartering. Powdered rock samples weighing ~ 180mg each with comparators/standards such as SY-3,Inhouse standard ARD-22 and synthetic of suitable concentrations were taken alongwith silicate rock samples weighing 100-150mg. About 40 nos. of samples were wrapped in high purity aluminium foil and they were properly interposed by comparator stacked in one or two rows in a big aluminium foil forming a target of size 1.6cm in diamater and 3.7cm in height. This target was irradiated in a thermal neutron flux ~  $10^{13}$  ncm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> from CIRUS reactor at BARC, Bombay.

The gamma emissions of induced activities were measured after allowing cooling time of 4 to 5 days from the end of irradiation. The determination of all possible REEs namely La, Ce, Nd, Sm, Eu, Tb, Tm, Yb, Lu, trace elements Sc, Cr, Co, Rb, Hf, Ta and major elements Na, Ca and Fe were made under varying conditions of cooling and counting intervals. The different factors, viz. cooling.and counting intervals, possible interferences, details of radioisotopes and their gamma emission energies, accuracy and sensitivity have been described by Brunfelt and Steinnes, 1966; Gordon *et al.*, 1968; and Murali *et al.*, 1979. Over the past nine years, parameters required for the above elements have been standardised (Gurna *et al.*, 1988 and 1990).

The measurements of desired gamma emissions were carried out by gamma ray spectrometry using ORTEC HPGe coaxial detector (relative efficiency 10%)-Type 10175, which has 2kev resolution at 1332kev of <sup>60</sup>Co and ORTEC HPGe planar detector-Type GLP 36385 with 0.8kev resolution at 122kev of <sup>57</sup>Co. ORTEC spectroscopy amplifier and ECIL PC based multichannel analyser with 8k Silena

138

ADC were employed in counting. The counting errors due to statistics at one sigma level were within  $\pm 10\%$  for La, Ce, Nd, Sm, Yb and Th and within  $\pm 5\%$  to  $\pm 20\%$  for Eu, Tb, Tra, Lu and U for all the samples except where indicated in the tables. The counting errors due to statistics at one sigma level for Sc, Cr, Co, Na and Fe were within  $\pm 5\%$  and for Hf, Ta, Rb, Ca and K were within  $\pm 5\%$  to  $\pm 15\%$ .

#### **Results and Discussion**

The results of 9 REE, Th and U as obtained by INAA of the five gneissic samples from Antarctica have been given in the Table 1, which are generally used for Atomic Mineral Exploration Programme.

The gneisses have low to moderate REE contents ( $\sum \text{REE} = 19-218 \text{ ppm}$ ). The Chondritic normalised REE pattern of the samples is shown in Fig. 1, which reveals an enriched LREE and flat HREE pattern showing a wide variation in their abundances.

The LREE/HREEN values for these gneiss samples increases as CaO content decreases, except in sheared banded gneiss. In all these gneisses the La/YbN ratio



Fig. 1. REE pattern of five gneiss samples from Schirmacher range, east Antarctica.

	Tabl	e 1: Concent	trations	of La, C	Je, Nd, S	im, Eu, '	Tb, Tm,	Yb, Lu,	Th and U	in ppn	-		
S.No. Ro	ck type	Reference	La	Ce	РN	Sm	Eu	Tb	Tm	Yb	Lu	Th	Li
		number											
-1 0	artz biotite gneiss	YS-1	21.5	48.0	34.0	7.8	1.80	1.1	0.75	4.1	0.65	1.7	1.6+0.6
2 Ga	trnet biotite gneiss	YS-2	33.0	71.0	42.0	9.6	1.20	1.0	0.70	5.0	0.75	9.2	3.0
3 Sh	eared banded gneiss	YS-3	45.0	95.0	56.0	9.6	2.00	1.4	1.00	7.2	0.90	5.1	<1.0
4 Le	ucocratic gneiss	YS-4	22.0	40.0	19.0	3.0	0.75	0.6	0.55	2.9	0.50	7.7	3.4
5 Ba	nded gneiss	YS-5	2.7	6.5	6.0	1.7	0.44	0.3	0.25+0.07	13	0.20	<1.0	1.7
		Table	2: The	Relative	: Concen	utrations	of the R	adio Ele	ements				
S.No.	Rock Type	Refere	snce	Th/I		K/Na	K/I	]*10 <sup>-4</sup>	K/Th*10.	4	Relative	concents	tions in
		mun	ber				Ì	2			rel	ation to	K
-	Quartz biotite gneiss	-SY	1	1.06		0.59	0.0	625	0.59		U norm	al Th de	oleted
2	Garnet biotite gneiss	ΥS	-2	3.06		1.89	1.	160	0.38		U norm	al Th de	oleted
ŝ	Sheared banded gneiss	YS	ė	5.1(	0	0.51	0.5	800	0.16		U norm	al Th em	iched
4	Leucocratic gneiss	ΥS	4	2.20	5	2.41	1.	260	0.55		U deple	ted Th d	epleted
5	Banded gneiss	YS-	ċ	0.58	~	0.94	0.4	410	0.70		U enrich	hed Th de	r spleted
9	Normal magmatic roc	k		3.5(	_		-1	000	0.25				-
* Data fro	m Atal( 1978).												
	Table 3: Conce	intrations of	Sc, Cr,	Co, Rb,	Hf, Ta,	Na, Ca,	K and F	'e in ppr	n (except W	/here ]	Indicated	(1	
S.No. Ro	ck Tvpe	Reference	2	Ċ	2	48			Ta No.(			0024	E O E
		number	;	5					%	- -	°80 8	2%	re203(1) %
-	outs bistits ansies	VC 1	4 60	001	400								

	I able 3: Conc	entrations of	sc, cr, c	0, KD, HI	l, 1a, Na,	La, N al	l ur e re in J	opm (exc	ept wner	e indicaté	(D)	
S.No.	Rock Type	Reference	Sc	Cr	ĉ	Rb	Hf	Та	$Na_2O$	CaO	K20	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (T)
		number							%	%	%	%
	Quartz biotite gneiss	YS-1	27.5	138	30.5	N.D.	5.5	0.55	2.3	7.27	1.20	6.6
0	Garnet biotite gneiss	YS-2	13.5	97	9.0	122	7.5	0.80	2.5	≤ <u>1</u> .4	4.21	3.5
ć.	Sheared banded gneiss	YS-3	18.0	144	10.5	N.D.	9.0	06.0	2.1	2.94	0.96	4.5
4	Leucocratic gneiss	YS-4	3.5	66	2.0	162	3.5	0.40	2.4	4.15	5.18	1.0
S	Banded gneiss	YS-5	29.5	535	35.0	N.D.	0.9	<0.1	1.0	10.20	0.84	4.9
Note: (	(i) N.D Not detectable.											

(ii) The data on  $K_2O$  was obtained by gamma ray spectrometry using 5" x 4" Nal (Tl) assembly. (iii) The oxide concentrations of CaO and  $K_2O$  are calculated from the elemental values.

140

is greater than one. Therefore, an inverse relation between CaO content and  $La/Yb_N$  ratio exists in these samples.

The LaN values for the gneisses range from 63 to 132 and YbNvalues range from 13 to 33 except in banded gneiss whose LaN value is 7.9 and YbN is 5.9. The enrichment of LREE over HREE is characterised by high La/YbN values ranging from 3.4 to 4.9 except in the case of banded gneiss having a ratio of 1.3. This shows a medium to high fractionation in these samples.

All the samples show medium negative Europium anomalies Eu/Eu\*, ranging from 0.41 to 0.76. Thus, the data indicate that these rocks have LREE enriched crustal origin and undergone high degree of differentiation (Henderson, 1984).

The relative concentrations of radio elements U, Th and K of these gneiss samples are given in Table 2. The radio elemental distribution in these rocks is characterised by low U, Th and Th/U ratios. The K/U and K/Th ratios of these rock samples show little scatter around normal values. The normal values for magmatic rocks are taken as K/U X  $10^{-4} = 1$ , and K/Thx $10^{-4} = 0.25$  (Atal *et* al.,1978). The K/Na ratio and Th/U ratio of these gneiss samples are almost in good agreement



Fig. 2. Th U & K variations of gneisses from Schirmacher range, east Antarctica.

with each other except in the case of sheared banded gneiss, where the ratio difference is 10-fold.

The Th/U ratio in granites is about 4 with Th levels of 10-15 ppm (Bayer *et al.*, 1978). In these gneissic samples, the Th/U ratio ranges from 1 to 5.1 except in Banded gneiss sample where U is 1.7 ppm and Th is below detection limit of one ppm for this matrix. Fig.2 shows that banded gneiss and quartz-biotite gneiss samples have high U/Th ratios. The sheared banded gneiss sample which was collected near Russian station in Schirmacher hill range, contains 5ppm of Th and less than one ppm of U.

The results of trace elements such as Sc, Cr, Co, Rb, Hf, Ta and major elements  $Na_2O$ ,  $K_2O$ ,  $Fe_2O_3(T)$  are given in Table 3. It is seen that the quartz- biotite gneiss, sheared banded gneiss and banded gneiss show high CaO/K<sub>2</sub>O ratios varying from 3 to 12. The garnet-biotite gneiss and leucocratic gneiss sample have CaO/K<sub>2</sub>O ratio of less than one.

Similarly the quartz-biotite gneiss, sheared banded gneiss and banded gneiss have high  $Na_2O/K_2O$  ratios ranging from 1.1 to 2.1. The garnet-biotite gneiss and leucocratic gneiss show low  $Na_2O/K_2O$  ratio of less than one. Fig.3 shows that these samples fall in the classification of granite to tonalite.



Fig. 3. CaO-Na<sub>2</sub>O-K<sub>2</sub>O diagram of gneisses from Schirmacher range, east Antarctica.

142

The Cr concentration in these gneisses varies from 97 to 144 ppm except in banded gneiss in which the concentration is 535 ppm, which may be due to the concentration of mafic minerals in the sample.

The K/Rb ratios in garnet-biotite gneiss and leucocratic gneiss are 287 and 265.

# Acknowledgements

Author is grateful to Director, Atomic Minerals Division for giving permission to publish this paper. He wishes to thank Shri J.N. Gupta, Head, Physics Geochronology Isotope Geochemistry Group for his encouragement and Shri B.S.Atal, Head, Instrumentation and Analytical Groups for their guidance and suggestions throughout the course of this work. He thanks all his colleagues in Neutron Activation Lab and Shri A.S Chawla for their guidance and many helpful discussions. The work was carried out in Ninth Antarctic Expedition. Author is grateful to Deptt. of Ocean Development and Shri Rasik Ravindra,Leader of Ninth Antarctic Expedition for providing logistic support.

# References

- Atal, B.S., Bhalla, N.S., Lall, Y., Mahadevan, T.M. and Udas, G.R. (1978): Radioactive elemental distribution in the granulite terrains and Dharwar schist belts of peninsular India. In: Archaean Geochemistry, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, pp 205-220.
- Bayer, G., Rogens, J.W. and Adams, J.A.S. (1978): Thorium. In: Handbook of Geochemistry, Wedepohl K.H.(eds), Springer Verlag, Berlin, II-5-90.
- Brunfelt, A.O. and Steinnes, E. (1966): Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis of "Standard rocks". Geochem et Cosmochim. Acta, V30, pp 921-928.
- Campbell Craddock (1982): Antarctica and Gondwana land. In:Campbell Craddock (ed).Antarctica Geoscience. The University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin, pp 3-13.
- Chakraborty, S.K., Kaul M.K. and Raina V.K.(1985): Mineralogical variation in the gneisses from Dakshin Gangotri, Antarctica. Tech. publ no 2, Deptt. of Ocean Developement, New Delhi.pp 23-28.
- Fedorov, L.V., Ravich, M.G. and Hofman, J.(1982): Geologic comparison of southeastern peninsular India and Sri Lanka with a part of East Antarctica (Enderby land, MacRobertson Land and Princess Elizabeth Land) In: Campbell Craddock (ed) Antarctica Geoscience, The University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin, pp 73-78.
- Gibson, IX: and Jagam, P.(1980): Instrumental neutron activation analysis of rocks and minerals. In: G.K. Muecke (ed) Short course in neutron activation analysis in the geosciences, Mineral Assoc Can short course Hand book 5, pp 109-131.
- Gordan, G.E., Randle, K., Goles, G.G., Corles, J.B., Beenson, M.H. and Oxley, S.S. (1968): Instrumental activation analysis of standard rocks with high resolution gamma-ray detectors. *Geochem. et Cosmochim. Acta*, V32, pp 369-396.
- Gurna, S.S., Satyanarayana, N., Dhanaraju, R., Selvam, A.P and Virnave, S.N.(1988): Instrumental neutron activation analysis in the study of rare earth elements and related trace elemental

distribution in theMahadek sandstones from Pdengshakap, Jaintia Hills district, Meghalaya and its bearing on exploration. Proc. symp on analytical applications in earth sciences, Shillong, Nov, pp 2-7.

- Gurna, S.S., Satyanaranaya, N. and Pandey, B.K. (1990): Multielement analysis of some Proterozoic granitic rocks of India by Instrumental neutron activation, Second Indo-USSR Symposium on rare earth materials research, Trivandrum, Nov, 5p.
- Henderson, P. (1984): Rare Earth Element Geochemistry. Elsevier Science Publishers, pp 275-316.
- Kaul, M.K., Chakraborty, S.K, and Raina, V.K. (1985): Petrography of the biotite-hornblende bearing quartzo-feldspathlc gneisses from Dakshin Gangotri, Schirmacher Hill, Antarctica. Tech. publ. no 2. Deptt. of Ocean Development, New Delhi, pp 29-38.
- Kaul, M. K., Mukerji, S., Singh, R. K., Srivastava, D. and layaram, S.(1988): Geological set-up of a part of Central Queen Maud Land, East Antarctica. Tech. publ. no 5, Deptt. of Ocean Development, New Delhi, pp 57-97.
- Singh, R. K. (1986):Geology of Dakshin Gangotri hill range, Antarctica. Tech. publ. no 3, Deptt. of Ocean Development, New Delhi, pp 181-185.
- Murali, A.V., Pawaskar, P.B, and Reddy, G.R. (1979):Chemical studies of two Luna -24 regolith samples, Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy;V45, A(3), pp 243-252.
- Murali, A.V., Krishnamoorthy, K.R. and Pichamuthu, C.S. (1983):Petrochemical studies of the rock samples from Dakshin Gangotri, Antarctica. Tech. publ. no 1, Deptt. of Ocean Development, New Delhi, pp 153-160.
- Pichamuthu.C.S. (1966): Charnockites of India and Antarctica A Comparison. Proc. of Indian Academy of Sciences, 64 ,pp 205-215.
- Potts, P.J, and Rogers, N.W.(1991): Determination of trace elements in selected geological reference materials by Instrumental neutron activation analysis, Geostandards News Letter, V 15, pp 111-116.
- Ravich, M.G.(1982): Comparison of the folded complexes of the Adelaide (Australia) and Ross (Antarctica) Geosyncline Areas, In: Campbell Craddock (ed), Antarctica Geoscience, The University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin, pp 65-71.